

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/561,498	12/19/2005	John Liddle	PB60330	6334
20462 7590 01/02/2009 SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
CORPORATE	DRPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220 QAZI, S.		ABIHA NAIM	
P. O. BOX 15: KING OF PRU	39 JSSIA, PA 19406-0939		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1612	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/02/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

US_cipkop@gsk.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/561,498 LIDDLE, JOHN Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Sabiha Qazi 1612 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 April 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-4 and 7 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6 and 8-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)∏ All	b) Some * c) None of:		
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/14/08.12/19/05 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/14/08.12/19/05	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5.) Action of Informal Pater Lapplication 6) Other.	

Application/Control Number: 10/561,498 Page 2

Art Unit: 1612

Non-Final Office Action

Claims 1-4 and 6-10 are pending. Claims 1-4 and 7 are allowed. Claims 6 and 8-10 are rejected.

Summary of this Office Action dated Monday, December 22, 2008

- 1. Information Disclosure Statement
- 2. Copending Applications
- 3. Specification
- 4. 35 USC § 112 (1) Written Description Rejection
- 5. Allowable Subject Matter
- 6. Communication

Application/Control Number: 10/561,498 Page 3

Art Unit: 1612

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Copending Applications

Applicants must bring to the attention of the examiner, or other Office official involved with the examination of a particular application, information within their knowledge as to other copending United States applications, which are "material to patentability" of the application in question. MPEP 2001.06(b). See Dayco Products Inc. v. Total Containment Inc., 66 USPQ2d 1801 (CA FC 2003).

Specification

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by

Claims 6 and 7-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Following reasons apply:

the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Present claims are drawn to a method of treating **or preventing** diseases or conditions mediated through the action of oxytocin which comprises administering to a mammal in need thereof of an effective amount of a compound of the formula (I). (claim 6).

A method according to claim 6 wherein said diseases are selected from:

pre-term labor, dysmenorrheal, endometriosis, benign prostatic hyperplasia, sexual dysfunction,
premature ejaculation, obesity, congestive heart failure, arterial hypertension, liver cirrhosis,
nephritic or ocular hypertension, obsessive-compulsive disorder and neuropsychiatric disorders
(claim 9).

A method according to claim 6 wherein said diseases are selected from: pre-term labor and premature ejaculation, (claim 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/561,498

Art Unit: 1612

The guidance given by the specification on how to prevent and/or treat the large number of disorders is absent. There is no evidence that these conditions are prevented or treated. The specification does not teach how all the diseases can be "treated" or "prevented".

Applicant has no possession of all the claimed subject matter at the time invention was filed. Applicant is kindly requested to explain the issue.

The description requirement of the patent statute requires a description of an invention, not an indication of a result that one might achieve if one made that invention. See, e.g., In re Wilder, 22 USPQ 369, 372-3 (Fed. Cir. 1984). (Holding that a claim was not adequately described because the specification did 'little more than outline goals appellants hope the claimed invention achieves and the problems the invention will hopefully ameliorate.')

Mere indistinct terms (such as "XXXX" used herein), however, may not suffice to meet the written description requirement. This is particularly true when a compound is claimed in purely functional terms. See Univ. of Rochester v. G.D. Searle, 69 USPQ2d 1886 (CAFC 2004) at 1892, stating:

The appearance of mere indistinct words in a specification or a claim, even an original claim, does not necessarily satisfy that requirement. A description of an anti-inflammatory steroid, i.e., a steroid (a generic structural term) described even in terms of its functioning of lessening inflammation of tissues <u>fails to distinguish any steroid from others having the same activity or function.</u> A description of what a material does, rather than of what it is, usually does not suffice.... The disclosure must allow one skilled in the art to <u>visualize or recognize</u> the identity of the subject matter purportedly described. (Emphasis added).

Art Unit: 1612

Conversely, a description of a chemical genus will usually comprise a recitation of structural features common to the members of the genus, which features constitute a substantial portion of the genus. See <u>Univ. of Calf. V. Eli Lilly</u>, 43 USPQ 2d 1398, 1406 (Fed. Cir. 1997). This is analogous to enablement of a genus under Section 112, ¶ 1, by showing the enablement of a representative number of species within the genus.

A chemical genus can be adequately described if the disclosure presents a sufficient number of representative species that encompass the genus. If the genus has substantial variance, the disclosure must describe a sufficient number of species to reflect the variation within that genus. See MPEP 2163. The MPEP lists factors that can be used to determine if sufficient evidence of possession has been furnished in the disclosure of the Application. These include the level of skill and knowledge in the art, partial structure, physical and/or chemical properties, functional characteristics alone or coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between structure and function, and the method of making the claimed invention. Disclosure of any combination of such identifying characteristics that distinguish the claimed invention from other materials and would lead one of skill in the art to the conclusion that the applicant was in possession of the claimed species is sufficient. MPEP 2163.

Here, the specification does not provide a reasonably representative disclosure of useful for treating or preventing. Specifically, the specification discloses only on page 5, [0061] the measurement of oxytocin antagonist activity is disclosed and this is not viewed as being reasonably representative of the genus in its claimed scope because no description of the invention has been disclosed.

Therefore, Applicant has no possession at the time the invention was filed for the claimed method of treatment and/or prevention of large of diseases as has been claimed in claims 6 and 8-10.

Allowable claims

Compounds of claims 1-4 and their method for preparation is allowed. Closest prior art is WO 99/47559. The reference does not teach nor suggest the substitution at R3 as has been presently claimed In present claims R3 is 2-methyl-1,3-oxazol-4-yl and R4 and R5 together with the nitrogen atom to which they are attached represents morpholino. These specific groups are not taught by the prior art of record.

COMMUNICATION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sabiha Qazi whose telephone number is (571) 272-0622. The examiner can normally be reached on any business day except Wednesday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Krass Frederick can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,498 Page 8

Art Unit: 1612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sabiha Qazi/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612